UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,938	08/02/2006	Shai Stein	STEIN 12	6653
1444 Browdy and Ne	7590 03/28/201 cimark. PLLC	EXAMINER		
1625 K Street, I		CATTUNGAL, AJAY P		
	Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>C</i> ,			2467	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/587,938	STEIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		AJAY P. CATTUNGAL	2467				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nnuarv 2011.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′ —	, -						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	6) Claim(s) <u>26-44</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/587,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 2467

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action has been examined. Claims 26-44 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 26-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 26,27, 35-38, 44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutillo et al. (US 2006/0228113 A1).

Re claims 26, 35, 44, Cutillo et al. discloses a method of providing subscribers

Application/Control Number: 10/587,938

Art Unit: 2467

with communication services in accordance with their agreements with a service provider, the method comprises: determining a first plurality of subscribers to be connected to the service provider via a distribution unit located in an access network (See Fig 1 item 24 is the plurality of customers and the distribution unit is item 22); selecting an advanced broadband technology to be produced by the distribution unit to be a minimal default technology (DABT) (See Fig 1 the minimum default technology is ADSL); in the distribution unit, arranging a second plurality of substantially uniform communication devices for serving the first plurality of subscribers, so that said second plurality either comprises uniform communication devices using the DABT (See Fig 1 shows a second plurality of uniform communication device i.e. DABT are the ADSL modems), and providing for each of said subscribers, irrespective of its individual agreement reached with the service provider, an individual permanent communication link for supplying, from one of the communication devices, respective broadband communication services by using said DABT (See Fig 1 each of the customers are connect to the distribution unit 22 by ADSL (DABT)), and enabling each of the plurality of subscribers to receive services in accordance with their respective agreements with the service provide(Para 29 and 31 teaches of connecting multiple subscribers of the ONU 22 and providing video, telephone and internet data services.). Cutillo et al. does not explicitly discloses a method, wherein the distribution unit, arranging a second plurality of uniform communication devices for serving the first plurality of subscribers, so that said second plurality comprises only uniform communication

Page 3

devices using DABT. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a distribution unit that contains only one type of advanced broadband technology, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.*

Re claim 27, Cutillo et al. discloses a method, wherein said DABT is VDSL (Very high data rate Digital Subscriber Line) (See Fig 1 item 26).

Re claim 36, Cutillo et al, discloses a distribution unit, adapted to provide a more technologically advanced communication service to a limited number of the subscribers (See Fig 1 VDSL).

Re claim 37, Cutillo et al. discloses a distribution unit, wherein said broadband communication service is a technologically advanced type of communication service selected from a non-exhaustive list comprising: ADSL (Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line), VDSL (Very high data rate DSL), SHDSL (Single line High bit-rate DSL) (Para 29 lines 1-7).

Re claim 38, Cutillo et al. discloses a distribution unit, comprising a plurality of communication devices capable of providing one and the same technologically advanced broadband communication service to all broadband and narrowband subscribers associated with the unit (See Fig 1 and Para 31 teach of having broadband technology to connect customers and the same technology is used in providing telephone services).

6. Claim 28-29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutillo et al. (US 2006/0228113 A1) in view of Czerwiec et al. (5,903,372).

Re claim 28, Cutillo et al. discloses the claimed invention as set forth in claim 26 above. Cutillo et al. does not explicitly disclose a method, wherein at least one of the subscribers is entitled to narrowband services only. However Czerwiec et al. discloses a method, wherein at least one of the subscribers is entitled to narrowband services only (Col 3 lines 11-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of remote addition of video services to a telephony customer of Czerwiec et al. method of having a distribution unit that could serve a default DSL and more advanced DSL line from the same box to different subscribers or Cutillo et al. in order to manage and control optical networks and specifically to manage and control of passive optical network operative providing digital subscriber line service.

Re claim 29, Cutillo et al. discloses the claimed invention as set forth in claim 26 above. Cutillo et al. does not explicitly disclose a method, further comprising preventing the use, by a particular subscriber, of communication services not included in the agreement between said particular subscriber and the service provider. However Czerwiec et al. a method, further comprising preventing the use, by a particular subscriber, of communication services not included in the agreement between said particular subscriber and the service provider (Col 4 lines 22-35 and Col 3 lines11-13 Col 6 lines 7-12 teaches of adding video service to primary subscribers, that only subscribe to telephone services) It would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of remote addition of video services to a telephony customer of Czerwiec et al. method of having a distribution unit that could serve a default DSL and more advanced DSL line from the same box to different subscribers or Cutillo et al. in order to manage and control optical networks and specifically to manage and control of passive optical network operative providing digital subscriber line service.

7. Claims 30, 31, 33, 39, 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutillo et al. (US 2006/0228113 A1) in view of DeLangis et al. (US 2005/0078690 A1).

Re claim 30, Cutillo et al. discloses a method, of providing a narrowband subscriber with communication services from a distribution unit in a converged broadband and narrowband communications access network, the method comprises establishing for said narrowband subscriber a communication link capable of carrying a technologically advanced broadband communication services as a minimum default technology selected as a uniform technology for the distribution unit comprising only uniform communication devices for serving all subscribers (See Fig 1 and Para 31 teach of providing multiple services one of which is narrowband service i.e. telephone service using the uniform ADSL modem). Cutillo et al. does not explicitly disclose a method, wherein preventing the use by said subscriber of all communication services not included in an agreement between said subscriber and a service provide. However DeLangis et al. disclose a method, wherein preventing the use by said subscriber of all communication services not included in an

agreement between said subscriber and a service provide (Para 49 lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of preventing customers from accessing services that the users is not entitled to of DeLangis et al. with the method of having a distribution unit that could serve a default DSL and more advanced DSL line from the same box to different subscribers or Cutillo et al. in order to manage and control optical networks and specifically to manage and control of passive optical network operative providing digital subscriber line service.

Re claim 31, note that DeLangis et al. disclose a method, wherein said communication link is being established between the narrowband subscriber and a communication device installed in a distribution unit and supporting said broadband communication services (Para 49 lines 1-8).

Re claim 33, note that DeLangis et al. discloses a method, wherein said preventing is performed by providing at least one of the subscribers with a Customer Premises Equipment (CPE) unit specifically configured to provide only services in accordance with the subscriber's agreement with the service provider (Para 49 lines 1-8).

Re claim 39, Cutillo et al. disclose a service filtering means operative to derive, from a technologically advanced broadband communication service reserved for a particular subscriber at a distribution unit in an access network (See Fig 1 Subscribers connect to VDSL modems), wherein said service reserved for the particular subscriber is a minimal default technologically advanced broadband

communication service (DABT) selected as a uniform technology for the distribution unit and available for delivering to the subscriber via an individual permanent communication link (See Fig 1 Users are connected using a default advanced broadband communication services i.e. VDSL through a permanent link). Cutillo et al. disclose a service filtering means operative to derive, only communication services agreed between said particular subscriber and a service provider. However DeLangis et al. disclose a service filtering means operative to derive, only communication services agreed between said particular subscriber and a service provider (Para 49 lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of preventing customers from accessing services that the users is not entitled to of DeLangis et al. with the method of having a distribution unit that could serve a default DSL and more advanced DSL line from the same box to different subscribers or Cutillo et al. in order to manage and control optical networks and specifically to manage and control of passive optical network operative providing digital subscriber line service.

Re claim 40, note that DeLangis et al. discloses a service filtering means, being configurable (Para 107).

Re claim 41, note that DeLangis et al. discloses a service filtering means, remotely configurable and associated with a communication device providing said broadband communication service at the distribution unit (Para 107).

Re claim 42, note that DeLangis et al. disclose a service filtering means, comprising a Customer's Premises Equipment (CPE) unit for use in said subscriber's

premises (Para 49 lines 1-8).

Re claim 43, note that DeLangis et al. disclose a distribution unit, comprising a service filtering means operative to derive, from the technologically advanced broadband communication service reserved for a particular subscriber at the distribution unit, only communication services agreed between said particular subscriber and a service provider (Para 49 lines 1-8).

Claims 32, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Cutillo et al. (US 2006/0228113 A1) in view of DeLangis et al. (US 2005/0078690
 A1) in further view of Czerwiec et al. (5,903,372).

Re claim 32, Cutillo et al. in view of DeLangis et al. discloses the claimed invention as set forth in claim 30. Cutillo in view of DeLangis et al. does not explicitly discloses a method, wherein said preventing is performed by remotely configuring the communication device located at the distribution unit and associated with said particular subscriber, where the configuration is carried out from a Local Exchange connected to the distribution unit. However Czerwiec et al. disclose a method, wherein said preventing is performed by remotely configuring the communication device located at the distribution unit and associated with said particular subscriber, where the configuration is carried out from a Local Exchange (Col 4 line 25-30 remote terminal) connected to the distribution unit (Col1 lines 10-18, Col 3 lines 11-13 and Col 4 lines 51-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of remote addition of video

services to a telephony customer of Czerwiec et al. method of having a distribution unit that could serve a default DSL and more advanced DSL line from the same box to different subscribers or Cutillo et al. in view of DeLangis et al. in order to manage and control optical networks and specifically to manage and control of passive optical network operative providing digital subscriber line service.

Re claim 34, note that Czerwiec et al. discloses a method, further comprising a step of reconfiguring (remotely provided with video services at a later date) according to an updated subscriber's agreement with the service provider (Col 3 lines 11-13 and Col 6 lines 7-12).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,938 Page 11

Art Unit: 2467

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJAY P. CATTUNGAL whose telephone number is (571)270-7525. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 7:30 - 5:00, Alternating Fridays OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pankaj Kumar can be reached on 571-272-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. P. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2467

/Pankaj Kumar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467